D. ADDRESSING THE CAUSES OF DISPARITIES IN HEALTH SERVICE ACCESS AND UTILIZATION FOR LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL, AND TRANS (LGBT) PERSONS: PROGRESS REPORT

Background

1. The approval of the concept paper on *Addressing the Causes of Disparities in Health Service Access and Utilization for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Trans (LGBT) Persons* Resolution CD52.R6, by the PAHO Member States during the 52nd Directing Council in 2013 (1) marked an important milestone in working towards universal health by recognizing that marginalized populations, including LGBT persons, historically face stigma and discrimination as well as other conditions that constitute critical barriers to health. Under Resolution CD52.R6, the Member States are urged to: a) promote health services that respect human dignity and health rights taking into account sexual and gender diversity; b) enact policies, plans, and legislation that are sensitive to the stigma and discrimination experienced by LGBT persons and that allow for increased availability and access to health services for these populations; and c) collect data on LGBT persons’ health and access to health services.

2. This report summarizes progress in the implementation of Resolution CD52.R6, describes the existing gaps, and offers recommendations for strengthening its implementation. It is based on the findings from a regional assessment commissioned by the Director of the Pan American Sanitary Bureau (PASB) and carried out in 2016-2017 (the assessment). The information for the assessment was drawn from the responses to two online questionnaires, one addressed to Ministry of Health (MOH) personnel in the Member States and the other to nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), supplemented with an extensive review of the literature on the subject. The final report on the

---

1 A total of 33 countries and territories responded to the MOH questionnaire (Argentina, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, Turks and Caicos, United States of America, Uruguay, Venezuela, British Virgin Islands), while 28 NGOs from 18 countries responded to the other questionnaire.
assessment will be published soon. It was prepared in consultation with Member States and relevant stakeholders and utilizes a conceptual framework using the Strategy for Universal Access to Health and Universal Health Coverage (2) as the guide for strengthening the health system’s response to the health needs of LGBT populations.

Update on Progress Achieved

3. Member States are making progress in providing health services to meet the health needs of LGBT persons. Two-thirds of the countries responding to the survey reported that they offer LGBT-sensitive services through the public health system. In addition, LGBT nongovernmental organizations provide a wide array of services. The health inequities and concerns reported in the literature can be extrapolated to LGBT populations in the Americas. Even though Member States recognize a range of health problems affecting the LGBT populations, LGBT health needs and health inequities are largely considered from an HIV/STI bias. As a result, the needs of trans persons and lesbian and bisexual women are rendered invisible. This perspective is reflected in the provision, structure, and funding of health services and ultimately impacts the overall health of LGBT persons.

4. The assessment shows that, despite efforts by Member States, the provision of health services tailored to the needs of LGBT persons is heterogeneous in the Region. Most often, the services are offered through the public health system on an undifferentiated basis and are centralized in large urban settings. In the case of HIV, for example, even though services are prevalent and are claimed to be LGBT-sensitive, they may: a) fail to provide adequate preventive measures; b) lack resources to meet the health needs of LGBT persons; c) be offered in settings where LGBT individuals are still stigmatized and discriminated against; and d) entail direct payment at the point of service. It is clear that improving HIV services for LGBT persons continues to be crucial.

5. With regard to policies and legislation, half the Ministries of Health of PAHO Member States reported having antidiscrimination policies in place and laws tailored to meet the specific needs of LGBT persons. Furthermore, 48.5% of the MOH respondents confirmed that their country or territory had a national legal framework and/or a set of laws that promote the rights of LGBT persons, “including from the perspective of the right to health where nationally recognized and promoting the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health” (2). Almost half of all the responding countries and territories have enacted legislation aimed at eradicating violence and discrimination

---

2 To appear under the title: Addressing the Causes of Disparities in Health Service Access and Utilization: Assessing the Health Situation and Access to Care for LGBT People in the Americas.

3 The health issues mentioned most often in terms of health service inequities and gaps included: limited availability of hormone therapy; transition-related surgical procedures; health complications related to unsupervised use of hormones and bodily modification (e.g., use of industrial oil or liquid silicone) for transgender populations; access to services to address anal health, mental health, and certain types of cancer in gay men; access to pre- and postexposure prophylaxis and human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination for gay and bisexual men; and access to gynecological services, reproductive health services, and certain types of cancer and sexual violence for lesbian women.
against LGBT persons, while 33.3% have existing laws (or laws in preparation) calling for the creation of LGBT-sensitive health services. Examples of laws promoting LGBT rights cited by the MOH respondents included such subjects as antidiscrimination, LGBT-inclusive adoption, marriage/civil union, gender identity, universal health, and gender violence. In addition, more than half the respondents reported that they have developed policies and laws that address LGBT health.

6. Health-related legislation cited by the MOH respondents includes laws that recognize LGBT groups as a population in conditions of vulnerability with unique health needs. However, some countries and territories still have laws that criminalize LGBT persons. This situation is critical because, according to the literature reviewed, laws of this kind can create an environment in which violence against LGBT individuals is permitted or tolerated, further promoting abuse towards these populations. Even though policies and laws exist, their application and enforcement varies widely within and between countries and territories.

7. The participating countries and territories have a wide array of mechanisms for accountability that articulate different government structures, including the Ministry of Health and Office of the Ombudsman, as well as LGBT Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society organizations (CSOs). Fewer than 20% of the MOH respondents cited the creation of specific entities or councils that focus exclusively on issues related to sexual and gender diversity. Initiatives of this kind are more likely to be found in countries and territories where LGBT-affirmative legislative actions and policies are more robust (3).

8. More than 50% of the countries reported having policies, norms, or guidelines for delivering health services to LGBT persons. Most of the existing health policies and standards focus on HIV prevention and treatment and do not address additional health needs. Nevertheless, a number of the MOH respondents (24.2%) reported that they have policies and guidelines on sexual and gender diversity or standards of care for LGBT populations. Examples of these norms include the promotion of specific health services (particularly for transgender individuals, such as the provision of hormone therapy) and LGBT-friendly clinics, nondiscrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity in the health care context, and best practices for LGBT health care. In addition, about 70% of the MOH respondents reported the existence of LGBT-focused training activities related to HIV/STI, human rights, health needs, or stigma and discrimination.

9. The assessment indicates that stigma and discrimination continue to be major obstacles to access health services for LGBT persons. The majority of MOH and NGO respondents (75% and 96.4%, respectively) referred explicitly to stigma and discrimination as a barrier to health for LGBT persons. They note that these individuals may avoid or delay care or hide their sexual orientation and/or gender identity from their health care providers in an effort to protect themselves against stigmatization. In fact, lack of competence in dealing with LGBT health issues on the part of health care providers was identified as a main barrier by 92.9% of the NGO respondents. LGBT
individuals often end up relying on NGOs, CSOs, or other networks to obtain information, improve their health literacy, and find health services that are tailored to their needs without any support from health authorities in the dissemination of this information. The pathologization of LGBT identities, particularly transgender identities, continues to disempower LGBT individuals and may become the foundation for stigma in health care settings. It is also at the cornerstone of the prevalence of conversion therapy.

10. In addition, the fact that LGBT individuals are not well represented in positions of authority or in the health care context further ostracizes them from the health system by making them invisible and preventing them from making decisions that would benefit their health and their community. Some studies in the Region have shown that LGBT persons report experiencing violence and discrimination on the part of health care providers. To address stigma and discrimination, 78.8% of the respondents stated that a number of LGBT-inclusive strategies are applied in their health care settings. The most widely used inclusion strategies are the creation of accessible processes for filing complaints and the posting of visible nondiscrimination statements. In addition, most LGBT NGOs/CSOs/networks provide legal counseling to the LGBT community in cases of discrimination. Legal services might take the form of peer support, guidance from volunteer attorneys, association with human rights protection organizations, and links to an ombudsman office.

11. Other barriers identified are limitations on health insurance coverage, discrimination in the labor market or educational settings, and scarcity of resources for the provision of appropriate health services. Reduced health insurance coverage was also noted in the literature reviewed. In fact, because of difficulties in the labor market, one estimate indicates that approximately 90% of trans women living in the Americas engage in sex work, and estimates for individual countries may be even higher. Also, studies have reported that LGBT persons are more likely to delay or not receive care because of its restrictive cost (4-7).

12. More than half the MOH respondents reported that their countries gather disaggregated LGBT health data. Even though LGBT health data can be gathered by including questions about sexual orientation and gender identity (SOGI) in health data collection tools, health information systems, hospital records, and national censuses, this is far from a typical situation in the Americas. Even when such questions are asked, they might not be included in all tools, or they may be drafted in a way that limits the inclusion of all L-G-B-T identities (i.e., using "sex" instead of gender, and following a binary male/female model), further complicating the collection of data on LGBT health. The importance of gathering health and demographic data in the Region has been emphasized in previously published literature and extensive research is being done by NGOs, CSOs, universities, and government institutions to fill this information gap.

13. In addition to the preparation of the regional assessment commissioned by the Director of the PASB, the Bureau’s work continues on advocating for increased access to quality and comprehensive health services for LGBT populations. This effort includes

**Action Necessary to Improve the Situation**

14. In light of the analysis of progress made so far and the challenges that remain, the following actions are needed:

a) Strengthen and/or establish LGBT-sensitive and comprehensive health services grounded in evidence that addresses the specific health needs of LGBT persons taking into account gender identity and diversity of expression. Each L-G-B-T population requires particular health services in order to meet its unique health needs, and therefore specific care standards or guidelines for each L-G-B-T identity are necessary. In order to improve access, it is important to avoid the centralization of LGBT-sensitive services, develop capacity in the first level of care, and promote LGBT-sensitive services in both the public and private sectors. It is also essential to ensure that information regarding LGBT health resources is available at the community and health service level and to develop strategies that allow health providers to refer LGBT persons to other services that are LGBT-sensitive.

b) Improve the training of health care providers so that they are able to address LGBT health needs with gender-, diversity-, and rights-based approaches that will help to end any form of discrimination. Collaborate with educational authorities to modify the curricula of health-related academic programs to include LGBT content, either as modules within existing courses or as specific independent courses.

c) Consider the specific health needs of LGBT persons in the development and/or implementation of health policies and health system strengthening initiatives as part of the effort to advance toward universal health. Share information on all LGBT health policies and standards of care with a view to fostering their adoption. Reinforce mechanisms of accountability with the active participation of government agencies or other bodies in monitoring effective compliance.

d) Improve efforts to ensure that LGBT persons can enjoy access to and the use of health services without discrimination by including provisions in their antidiscrimination laws that explicitly forbid discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity. Train and sensitize legislators and policy-makers on issues related to sexual and gender diversity, with emphasis on the impact of stigma and discrimination on LGBT health.
e) Strengthen health information systems and surveillance mechanisms so that they can generate periodic reports that include LGBT health conditions and barriers to access. Include sexual orientation and gender identity items in existing nationally representative health surveys in order to gather data that can be disaggregated by sexual orientation and gender identity. This information is crucial to tailoring health services, policies, and legislation to meet the needs of LGBT populations. It is also necessary in order to monitor barriers and assess health needs.

f) Strengthen intersectoral mechanisms and links to the community in order to improve the health and well-being of LGBT persons. This includes cooperation and coordination between the MOH and the education, labor, justice, social welfare, and housing ministries, law enforcement agencies, legislatures, and LGBT NGOs/CSOs/networks.

g) Use Resolution CD54.R9, Strategy on Health-related Law, together with Resolution CD53.R14 Strategy for Universal Access to Health and Universal Health Coverage and other PAHO resolutions, to foster the establishment of legal, policy, and regulatory frameworks that promote enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health as a fundamental right of every human being without distinction of sex, gender, gender identity, or gender expression.

**Action by the Executive Committee**

15. The Executive Committee is invited to take note of this progress report and to formulate the recommendations it deems relevant.
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